

Making Medicines Affordable

EGA Position on INN Naming of Biosimilar Products

5th EGA Symposium on Biosimilars London, 3-4 May 2007 Marcy Macdonald Director, Global Regulatory Affairs Hospira, Inc



Introduction



Why are INNs for Biosimilar Products suddenly an Issue?

- There is current discussion whether biosimilar molecules are "similar enough" to originator molecules such that the same INN as the reference product can be used
- There have been attempts to modify the WHO INN nomenclature system to achieve objectives such as drug safety, interchangeability and pharmacovigilance for which it was never intended

Currently, originator companies are using comparative analytical technology and at times, clinical testing to support changes made to their processes. For the first time, other organizations are using the same techniques to create biosimilar products and to claim the same INN identity to the originator product

WHO meetings convened in 2006 and 2007



Purpose of INN

ls	Is Not
 Identification of drug substance Nomenclature: means of 	Identification of a medicinal product or its impurities
 classifying and cataloguing pharmacological classes Means of communication 	 Statement of therapeutic equivalence and/or substitution
between health care professionals etc	Means of managing the practice of medicine
	Means of establishing traceability or for pharmacovigilance

INN is a nomenclature system for a drug substance. The INN has never been the primary means for managing clinical decisions by physicians, more than one of several components that together make up a robust track and trace system for medicinal products



EGA Position and Recommendations



Biosimilars and Originator product: same INN is justified

Comparability concept inherent in INN nomenclature system

Science and technology exist to demonstrate comparability Biosimilars entitled to same INN as reference product No impending public health crisis to drive contrary decision

Fine tuning the process of granting INN names can avoid repeats of some historical inconsistencies

6



Same INN for Originator Protein Products

- The same INN for originator protein based products has often been granted by regulators
 - To multiple manufacturers with no comparability exercises
 - Changes in manufacturing site/process (leading to different glycosylation pattern/same INN)
- Currently, originator companies are using comparative analytical technology and at times, clinical testing to support changes made to their processes

Examples: Same INN

Purpose of INN is characterization of active substance, not impurities or finished product

Making Medicines Affordable

 Major 'safety' concerns pertaining to impurities - never been a reason for changing INN for small molecules eg paclitaxel extracted from different yew sources by different processes



Eprex – EPO alpha

Different Glycosylation-Same INN

- Reference product displays variability
 - INN assigned to reference product actually refers to some sort of average structure



Making Medicines Affordable



EU Variations Regulation (Annex II) Supports Same INN

Changes to the active substance(s):

- (iii) replacement of a biological substance or product of biotechnology with one of a slightly different molecular stucture. Modification of vector used to produce the antigen/source material, including a new master cell bank from a different source where the efficacy/safety characteristics are not significantly different
- Same INN is retained

Same scientific principles and criteria apply to biosimilar comparability

Biosimilars are Biologics Too

The goals of drug development for both originator and biosimilar products are

- Safety

Making Medicines Affordable

- Efficacy
- Quality
- Reproducibility
- Biosimilars also require
 - Thorough characterization
 - Extensive comparability to an approved reference product
- The development of a biosimilar product is targeted to match the reference medicinal product through the application of state-of-the-art science and technology in head-to-head studies







How Close is Close Enough? Demonstrating Comparability

- The criteria for the comparison of the biosimilar candidate and the reference product are based on
 - Understanding batch-to-batch variability of the reference medicinal product
 - Classification of the process related impurities and/or degradation products
 - Level of understanding in the relevance of subtle differences of safety/efficacy

The manufacturing process for the biosimilar is systematically designed to meet the required comparability criteria

Comparability can be Shown

Comparability can be demonstrated today applying state-of-the-art technologies

Making Medicines Afforda

- The decision as to whether a sponsor has demonstrated comparability is a regulatory determination
- If a sponsor fails to demonstrate comparability at the level of quality, safety and efficacy, that product will not be approved or the applicant may be requested to apply for a different INN



Recommendation to WHO INN Expert Committee







Establish a WHO working group on regulatory evaluation of therapeutic biological medicines

WHO Guidance should clearly state that biosimilar INN naming is part of the regulatory process rather than an INN nomenclature issue



Recommendation to EMEA/European Commission

Clarification added to appropriate guidelines that the biosimilar INN is part of the regulatory process rather than an INN nomenclature issue



- Biosimilars are entirely compatible with WHO's existing INN rules
- There is no basis for expecting a "public health crisis" to emerge from biosimilars carrying the INNs of the originator products
- State-of-the art analytical technologies allow a detailed physicochemical and biological characterization and a sound scientific judgment on comparability
 - Regulatory Authorities can decide if comparability has been demonstrated or not:
 - If product is comparable, it is entitled to have the same INN
 - WHO does not evaluate comparability data and thus cannot assign an INN for a biosimilar prior to the regulatory authority's decision
 - Assessment and approval of biosimilar medicinal products should proceed independently from WHO's naming process



Thank You